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Gypsum plays a crucial role in cement. Though it is used in a small quantity, in the range of 2.5-3.0 percent in terms of \( \text{SO}_3 \), gypsum’s role in cement is significant, more predominantly at early ages. Gypsum renders workability to mortar or concrete by keeping the cement in plastic state at early age of hydration. This is achieved by changing the course of hydration of calcium aluminate that manifests as retardation in cement hydration. This is how gypsum is identified as a set regulator or retarder, as known popularly. Nevertheless, gypsum also contributes for strength acceleration in the early stages of hydration. This dual role of gypsum is discussed in the feature.

Gypsum is the set retarder for ordinary portland cement (OPC). Without gypsum, ground clinker exhibits flash setting in a few minutes, due to the rapid hydration of calcium aluminates to form calcium aluminate hydrate (CAH). The hydration of \( \text{C}_3\text{A} \) releases profuse exothermic heat making the matrix stiff, minimising the chances for remixing. The CAH, thus formed, does not contribute for strength of the matrix and, moreover, hampers the hydration of calcium silicate. The sequence of reactions, in the absence of gypsum, totally vetoes the commercial use of cement. The following chemical reaction, in the absence of gypsum, is explanatory in this regard.

\[
3 \text{CaO} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + n \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CAH} + \text{profuse exothermic heat}
\]

Hence, it was found essential to change the reaction course of \( \text{C}_3\text{A} \), and this was met by the use of sulphate salts. Due to its affinity with \( \text{SO}_3 \), aluminate tends to react readily with the former and in this process the reactions of aluminate with water are prevented. Ultimately, gypsum was identified as the most effective form of sulphate to control hydration reactions of \( \text{C}_3\text{A} \) that incidentally resulted in better workability for a longer duration.

Chemical reaction in the presence of gypsum is given below

\[
3\text{CaO} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{CaSO}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3\text{CaO} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 3\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{ettringite: calcium trisulpho aluminate hydrate} + \text{moderate exothermic heat}
\]

Many in the cement and concrete industry know the role of gypsum as set retarder or set regulator. But the complementary role of gypsum, as accelerator to render high early strengths, is generally unnoticed. This knowledge gap lead to a misunderstanding that the addition of more gypsum means additional retardation in setting, which is not true. The formation of ettringite at threshold levels accelerates the hardening process and thus hastens strength gain at early ages. In view of this behaviour, the latest European code on cement — ENV 197 - 1 stipulates higher dosages of \( \text{SO}_3 \) (between 3.5 to 4 percent by mass)\(^1\). As against this, the Indian specifications on both 43 and 53 OPC grade (IS 8112 : 1989\(^2\) and IS 122269 : 1987\(^3\)) specify the maximum \( \text{SO}_3 \) content of 2.5 percent by mass (for \( \text{C}_3\text{A} < 5 \) percent) and 3 percent by mass (for \( \text{C}_3\text{A} > 5 \) percent). The specification on portland pozzolana cement (IS 489 : 1991\(^4\)) and that on portland slag cement (IS 455 : 1989\(^5\)) stipulates \( \text{SO}_3 \) level of 3 percent by mass.

In the manufacture of blended cements, by virtue of reactive aluminates from fly ash and slag that leads to ultimate cement, the chances for existence of resultant calcium aluminate hydrates are more than what is generally available in OPC, as shown in the Table 1.

Hence, the increasing positive threshold levels of gypsum is one of the solutions to overcome the weakness of strengths at early ages in mortar/concrete with blended cements. This aspect is also of significance when the blending is done in ready mix...
Concrete plants. While all fly ashes may not need additional gypsum, care has to be taken not to deprive those fly ashes in need of additional gypsum.

FaL-G technology, developed by the authors, achieved its breakthrough by tapping the potential of calcium aluminates towards the formation of ettringite and mono-sulphate; thus changing the pace of lime-fly ash chemistry. Gypsum plays a predominant role as the strength-accelerator in lime-fly ash chemistry. Gypsum plays a mono-sulphate; thus changing the pace of hydration, and this explains the existence of dormant period, that is, the period of relative inactivity lasting for one to two hours. During this dormant period the paste remains plastic and workable. The end of the dormant period can be identified as initial set. This is attributable to the break up of C-S-H and ettringite coatings, and the resultant continuation of hydration process. Due to the osmotic pressure the gel coating gets ruptured, exposing the cement grains, wherein hydration is resumed and setting takes place.

Consequently, as the hydration proceeds, the hydration products gradually fill in the spaces of the cement grains. Points of contact are formed resulting in stiffening of the paste, which is identified as the setting. At some later stage, the concentration of hydration products and resultant concentrations of points of contact restrict the mobility of cement grains to such an extent that the paste becomes rigid, reaching the state of final set.

The volume of the hydration products, through the process of crystallisation and matrix formation, increased almost 32 molecules as water of crystallisation. As already mentioned, in a hydrated cement paste, the level of impermeability increases through volume expansion of hydrated phases and the resultant densification through the process of curing. In the initial ages of hydration this expansion contributes for the internal compaction of matrix, resulting in densification and strength. These phenomena lead ultimately to impermeability of the matrix. However, if the same expansion occurs after the matrix attains volume stability then the matrix is subjected to instability. This activity was sustainable for longer ages in low grade cements, accredited for their durability, which is explained in terms of heat bank and lime bank by the authors. In contrast, accelerated reactions take place in high grade cements for relatively shorter periods, leading to the complications and decrease in durability.

### Ettringite in pre-hardened and post-hardened concrete.

It is observed that the ettringite formed in pre-hardening stage is conducive for the matrix formation in comparison to the same formed in post-hardening stage. The simple reason is its volume expansion by taking almost 32 molecules as water of crystallisation. As already mentioned, in a hydrated cement paste, the level of impermeability increases through volume expansion of hydrated phases and the resultant densification through the process of curing. In the initial ages of hydration this expansion contributes for the internal compaction of matrix, resulting in densification and strength. These phenomena lead ultimately to impermeability of the matrix. However, if the same expansion occurs after the matrix attains volume stability then the matrix is subjected to instability.

### Table 1: Need of SO$_3$ corresponding to calcium aluminates formation in the blends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of cement</th>
<th>$C_A$</th>
<th>$C_A$</th>
<th>As monosulphate</th>
<th>Need of $SO_3$ for final phase</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPC</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>9.28</td>
<td>11.50</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC(70) + FA(30)*</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>16.70</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>6.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC(50) + Slag(50)**</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td></td>
<td>38.40</td>
<td>8.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CA - Reactive calcium alumino silicate, *Fly ash with 30 percent reactivity containing 25 percent Al$_2$O$_3$, **Slag with 90 percent reactivity containing 14 percent Al$_2$O$_3$.
Table 2: Relation of strength and heat of hydration to increase in SO₃ in OPC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SO₃ percent</th>
<th>Setting time, min</th>
<th>Composite strength, MPa</th>
<th>Heat of hydration, kCal/kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Final</td>
<td>1-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>20.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surface area of OPC : 320 kg/m²

Maximum amount of gypsum is engaged into ettringite in the first two to three days. Thus, matrix becomes more cohesive with improved strength development. Nevertheless, each clinker has its own optimum level of demand for gypsum that decides the other characteristics. The data in Table 2 elucidate the same.

In the above studies on OPC, addition of SO₃ beyond 2.1 percent is proving adverse both in strength and heat of hydration though the one-day strength is impressive. Hence, it would be highly inappropriate to decide the quality of cement based on one-day strength alone, which unfortunately is projected as a quality parameter by many.

Strength data of calcium aluminates to calcium sulpho aluminates

Gypsum in FaL-G

In FaL-G studies, two formulations were made for fly ash lime mixes with and without gypsum. The predominant difference is the formation of calcium aluminate hydrate where there was no gypsum; and the formation of calcium sulphoaluminate hydrate where gypsum was available. These mineralogical formations were substantiated by XRD. Notwithstanding these formations, the strength data also substantiate the observation both at 7-day and 28-day as shown in Table 3. In the light of moderate performance by calcium silicate chemistry in fly ash lime mixes at early ages, the credit of the early strength goes to ettringite.

Table 3 shows that LT fly ashes show higher reactivity than HT fly ashes. This could be attributed to the presence of higher reactive amorphous alumino silicates in LT fly ashes which produces CAH that can further form ettringite with gypsum.

Gypsum in blended cements

The hydration chemistry of blended cements is a two-phase mechanism. In the first phase, the OPC chemistry surfaces and in the second phase pozzolanic chemistry comes into force. This is represented as follows:

OPC + H \(_{fast}\) Primary hydrated mineralogy + CH

Pozzolana + CH + H \(_{slow}\) Secondary hydrated mineralogy

In pozzolanic reactions, alumina is noticed but the addition of commensurate gypsum is not given much weightage. Even the ASTM definition of pozzolanic chemistry is silent on this issue, which says, “Siliceous or siliceous and aluminous materials, which, though not cementitious themselves, react with lime, when in finely divided form, in the presence of water at ordinary temperature, and form stable and insoluble mineralogical phases, possessing cementitious characteristics”.

But the principle behind use of additional gypsum in blended cements lies in the capability of SO₃ to break the glass of pozzolana. This occurs due to the affinity between SO₃ and alumina that facilitates the formation of calcium sulphaaluminate hydrates. The indirect benefit is the availability of reactive silica, freed from glass of pozzolana, for reactions. The formation of additional ettringite and CSH makes the cement matrix more densified and impermeable at early ages. The studies on fly ash blended mortars and concrete substantiate this phenomenon as shown in Table 4.
The rationale of gypsum in European codes:

Studies were conducted on OPC at different doses of gypsum mentioned in terms of SO₃. The heat of hydration diminishes up to a particular level beyond which, it is noted that, increase of SO₃ the increases heat of hydration also, which is evident from the data already given in Table 2.

This means, gypsum liberates more heat of hydration on account of rapid chemistry. However, beyond a threshold level of SO₃, such rapid chemistry would not contribute for strength gain. This explains the rationale behind the increase of gypsum for rapid hardening cements vide European code, keeping an eye on the threshold limits.

Conclusions

Gypsum works as a double-edged sword in cement chemistry. One needs to have comprehensive understanding of the cement mineralogy and hydration chemistry before deciding on the dosage of gypsum. As much one can get optimum results by judicious input of gypsum, so much so one may get disastrous results too by improper dosing. This is where the holistic knowledge on cement chemistry is of significance.
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Table 4: Impact of gypsum on compressive strength at different inputs of fly ash

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OPC</th>
<th>Compressive strength of mortar, MPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fly ash</td>
<td>7-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50:50 (PPC-I)</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40:60 (PPC-II)</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100:0 (control mortar)</td>
<td>27.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a): Added with anhydrite commensurate to fly ash quantity, (b): Without anhydrite

Table 5: Improvement in lime reactivity strength with the addition of gypsum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fly ash</th>
<th>Lime reactivity strengths MPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Without gypsum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 3</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Reduction in permeability upon addition of gypsum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cementitious Slump, mm</th>
<th>Compressive strength, MPa</th>
<th>Chloride permeability material, Coulombs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content, percent</td>
<td>3-day</td>
<td>7-day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC Sample 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Added with 2.76 as SO₃.